TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surve	yor:	M Harrison	Date:	23/09/10 Spec	sies: 6 no. Sycamores		
Locati	ion:	Beam Ends					
TPO F	Ref (if	applicable):		ree/Group No:	Owner (if known)	: Catherine Ashley Boulton	
Part 1	: Ame	nity assessment					
a) Condition & suitability for TPO (Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only)							
	5) Go	od Highly suitable				Notes	
Х	3) Fai	r Suitable				Young trees of reasonable form and shape. Only Minor dead wood throughout the	
	1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable				crown. Close to adjacent buildings but this		
	0) Dead Unsuitable				can be addressed by minor pruning. No other obvious defects noted.		
	0) Dyi	ng/dangerous* Ur	nsuitable				
						Sub Total 3	
b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality)							
	5) 100+ Highly suitable 4) 40-100 Very suitable					Notes	
						Young trees with the potential for reasonable future development. The adj wall	
	2) 20-40 Suitable					should be monitored.	
	,	20 Just suitable * Unsuitable					
	0) < 10	Olisuitable				Sub Total 2	
c) Rel	ative r	uhlic visihility & s	uitability for	TPO - Consider realistic	notential for future visibility		
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use							
	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable					Tree has limited visibility from outside the close but can be seen from all houses within the Close and contributes to its character.	
	•	_		-	difficulty Barely suitable	and cheec and commence to he character.	
	•	-		pardless of size Probably	•		
	1) 110	es not visible to ti	ie public, reg	jaraiess of size i robably	unsuitable	Sub Total 4	
d) Other factors - Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify							
	5) Drir	ncinal component	s of arboricul	tural features, or veterar	trees	Notes	
	,	•		ps important for their coh			
	•	-	-	mmemorative or habitat			
	•			specially if rare or unusu	•		
	,		•	itional redeeming feature			
	1) 116	es with hone of th	e above aud	monar redeeming leadure	55	Sub Total 1	
Part 2: Expediency assessment - Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify							
	-	nediate threat to t				Notes	
	,	eseeable threat to				Section 201 notice of removal.	
	2) Per	ceived threat to tr	ee				
	1) Pre	cautionary only					
						Sub Total 5	
Part 3: Decision guide 0 - Do not apply TPO							
0 - Do not apply 11 O 1-0 17 O indefensible 7-10 Does not ment 17 O 11-14 17 O defensible 10 τ Definitely Ments 17 O							
Total Score 15 Decision: Merrits TPO							
Comn							
Trees suitable for a tree preservation order.							